

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,399 10/01/2003		10/01/2003	Myoung-Ho Kim	1572.1172	5161	
21171	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS &	HALSE	Y LLP	CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING			2628			
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/200	DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/674,399	KIM, MYOUNG-HO		
Examiner	Art Unit	*	
Gregory F. Cunningham	2628		

	Gregory F. Cunningham	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	ha maior do dho dodo of filian o baiof	ما المسمومين الثانية	
<ul> <li>3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(c)    ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	7
	/	Kee M. Tup	6
		Primary Exam	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The change in scope due to the change in claim language would require a further search for prior art.

J. F. Cunningham, Examiner 5/9/06